

United States Patent and Trademark Office

<u>l</u>i

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/707,457	12/16/2003	Cheng-Chieh Yang	11053-US-PA	1456
31561	7590 07/27/2005		EXAMINER	
JIANQ CHYUN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OFFICE			ROSE, KIESHA L	
7 FLOOR-1, ROOSEVELT	Γ ROAD, SECTION 2		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TAIPEI, 10	00		2822	
TAIWAN			DATE MAILED: 07/27/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				/			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/707,457	YANG ET AL.				
		Examiner	. Art Unit				
		Kiesha L. Rose	2822	<u> </u>			
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication r Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence add	ress			
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the modulation of the property of the property of the property of the property of the provided patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of t mod will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mi tatute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this com ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	1 May 2005.					
'=		This action is non-final.					
· / <u>—</u>							
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims	•					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 11-20 is/are pending in the applicate of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 11-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.		•			
Application	on Papers						
9)□ -	The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.					
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□	accepted or b)□ objected t	o by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	rrection is required if the drawir	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFF	≀ 1.121(d).			
11) 🔲 🗆	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTC)-152.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			,			
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bute the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National S	tage			
			•				
Attachment	(s)						
	of References Cited (PTO-892)		v Summary (PTO-413)				
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB No(s)/Mail Date		o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO- 	152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/707,457

Art Unit: 2822

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to the amendment filed 11 May 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 11,14-16,19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Williams et al. (U.S. Publication 2004/0119118).

Williams discloses a discrete semiconductor circuit (Fig. 8a) that contains a circuit die having at least one electrical contact area (421/422) for connection to the packaging of semiconductor circuit, the electrical contact area being bond to the corresponding lead of packaging by metallic material formed by a metal ball (423) of prescribed feed melted during fabrication, where the metal ball is formed of lead or tin, a second metal ball (423) can also be formed and the metal balls can be formed of different materials since the balls can be either lead or tin.

Application/Control Number: 10/707,457

Art Unit: 2822

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williams in view of Lin et al. (U.S. Patent 5,938,952).

Williams discloses all the limitations except for the metal ball to contain aluminum and copper. Whereas Lin discloses electronic device that contains a metal ball that comprises aluminum and copper. Aluminum and copper are chosen as the material for the metal ball because of the better bond strength. (Column 9, lines 3-7) Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Williams by incorporating the metal ball to comprise aluminum and copper for better bond strength as taught by Lin.

Claims 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williams in view of Cho (U.S. Patent 5,985,694).

Williams discloses the claimed invention except for the bumps to be different sizes and shapes. Whereas Cho discloses a semiconductor die bumping method (Fig. 6-1) that contains bumps (210) that are formed of different sizes or shapes. The bumps are formed of different sizes and shapes to be utilized in optical imaging systems.

(Column 2, lines 65-67 and Column 3, lines 10-13) Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to

Application/Control Number: 10/707,457

Art Unit: 2822

modify the device of Williams by incorporating the bumps to have different sizes and shapes to be utilized in optical imaging systems as taught by Cho.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 11-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2822

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kiesha L. Rose whose telephone number is 571-272-1844. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:00 off 2nd Mondays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amir Zarabian can be reached on 571-272-1852. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KLR

Michael Trinh Primary Examiner Act SPE